



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**  
**Pat nt and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/444,067	11/19/99	MURPHY	17634-000512

020350 HM32/1109  
TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW  
TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER  
EIGHTH FLOOR  
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94111-3834

EXAMINER  
BRUMBACK, B

ART UNIT 1642	PAPER NUMBER
------------------	--------------

DATE MAILED: 11/09/00

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks**

## Interview Summary

Application No.

09/444,067

Applicant(s)

Murphy et al.

Examiner

Brenda Brumback

Group Art Unit

1642



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Brenda Brumback

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Jeffrey King

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview Nov 6, 2000Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.Claim(s) discussed: All

Identification of prior art discussed:

None

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Applicant requested that the outstanding restriction requirement be discussed for possible ways to reduce the number of groups. The possibility of combining whole virus and nucleotides (with vectors and host cells) into the same group was discussed. Also, the possibility of treating the various combinations as species within about 5 main groups of inventions presented in this and related applications was also discussed. Applicant will present arguments in writing in response to the restriction requirement.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

*Brenda Brumback*

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.